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The nonaxisymmetric problem of elasticity theory for circular cylindrical shells loaded along the endface surface $\Gamma_{2}$ is considered. By using the method of trigonometric series expansions, homogeneous solutions of closed ( $\Gamma_{2}: z= \pm l$ ) and open ( $\Gamma_{2}: \varphi= \pm \varphi_{0}$ ) shells are studied as their thickness decreases.

It is proved that the state of stress of a closed shell includes four parts: (1) an elementary state of stress penetrating into the shell without attenuation, (2) a slowly attenuated principal state of stress, (3) a rapidly attenuating state of stress (edge effect of shells). (4) a boundary layer type of state of stress.

In the case of an open cylindrical shell subjected to a periodic loading with period $l_{0}$, there are states of stress of types (1), (3) and (4). The rate of attenuation of the edge effects hence depend essentially on the number of the term of the trigonometric series as well as on the quantity $l_{0}$. In both cases asymptotic expansions are presented of the components of the states of stress and strain.

On the basis of the exact solution of the three-dimensional problem, a refined applied theory is given for a circular cylindrical shell, which is intended to reduce the stress from the endface surface $\Gamma_{2}$. Applied theories reducing the stresses from the cylindrical portions of the shell boundary were considered earlier in [1].

1. Construction of homogeneous solutions. Let us consider the arbitrary strain of an elastic isotropic shell bounded by coaxial circular cylinders $\Gamma_{1}$ of radii $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}\left(R_{1}<R_{2}\right)$ and an endface surface $\Gamma_{2}$. Let us assume that the stress resultants applied to the boundary $\Gamma_{2}$ form a system statically equivalent to zero, and the boundary $\Gamma_{1}$ is stress-free. As the initial relationships let us take expressions for the
displacements and stresses obtained in [1] on the basis of Lur'e's symbolic writing [2]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u=R_{3}\left\{\dot{Z}_{v}^{\prime} A-\xi^{-1} Z_{v} \partial_{2} N-\xi Z_{v} C+*\right\} \\
& v=R_{3}\left\{\xi^{-1} Z_{v} \partial_{2} A+Z_{v}{ }^{\prime} N+*\right\} \\
& w=R_{3}\left\{Z_{v} A+\left[\xi Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}+2 x Z_{v}\right] C+{ }^{\prime} *\right\} \\
& \sigma_{r}=2 G p\left\{\left[Z_{v}\left(v^{2} \xi^{-2}-1\right)-\xi^{-1} Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right] A+\left(\xi^{-2} Z_{v}-\xi^{-1} Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right) \partial_{2} N+\right. \\
& \left.+\left[(1-x) Z_{v}-\xi Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right] C+*\right\} \\
& \tau_{r \varphi}=G p\left\{2\left(-\xi^{-2} Z_{v}+\xi^{-1} Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right) \partial_{2} A+\right. \\
& \left.+\left[Z_{v}\left(2 v^{2} \xi^{-2}-1\right)-2 \xi^{-1} Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right] N-Z_{v} \partial_{2} C+*\right\} \\
& \tau_{r z}=G p\left\{2 Z_{v}{ }^{\prime} A-\xi^{-1} Z_{v} \partial_{2} N+\left[Z_{v}\left(v^{2} \xi^{-1}-2 \xi\right)+2 x Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right] C+*\right\} \\
& \sigma_{z}=2 G p\left\{Z_{\nu} A+\left[(2+x) Z_{\nu}+\xi Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right] C+*\right\} \\
& \tau_{z \varphi}=G p\left\{2 \xi^{-1} Z_{v} \partial_{2} A+Z_{v}{ }^{\prime} N+\left(2 \xi^{-1} x Z_{v}+Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right) \partial_{2} C+*\right\} \\
& \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}=2 G_{p}\left\{\left(-v^{2} \xi^{-2} Z_{v}+\xi^{-1} Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right) A+\left(-\xi^{-2} Z_{v}+\xi^{-1} Z_{v}{ }^{\prime}\right) \partial_{\mathbf{2}} N+(1-x) Z_{v} C+*\right\} \\
& \left(p=\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta}, \partial_{2}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi}, \quad v=-i \partial_{2}, \quad \xi=p \rho, \quad \zeta=\frac{z}{R_{3}}, \quad \rho=\frac{r}{R_{3}}, \quad \chi=\frac{2(m-1)}{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $m$ is the Poisson number, $A, N, C$ are arbitrary functions of $\zeta$ and $\varphi, Z_{v}(\xi)$ the cylindrical operator function (see e.g. [1]), $R_{3}$ the characteristic dimension, and the asterisk denotes the analogous expressions obtained by replacing $Z_{\nu}(\xi), A, N, C$ by the functions $X_{v}(\xi), A^{*}, N^{*}, C^{*}$.

Let us extract the class of homogeneous solutions out of (1.1)-(1.3), i.e. solutions for which there are no stresses on the boundary $\Gamma_{I}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{r}=0, \quad \tau_{r \varphi}=0, \quad \tau_{r z}=0 \quad \text { when } r=R_{1}, R_{2} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting $\sigma_{r}, \tau_{r \varphi}, \tau_{r z}$ from (1.2) into (1.4), we obtain a system of homogeneous differential equations of infinitely high order in the unknown functions $A, N, \ldots C^{*}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{11} A+d_{12} N+\ldots+d_{18} C^{*}=0 \\
& \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot  \tag{1.5}\\
& d_{61} A+d_{62} N+\ldots+d_{66} C^{*}=0
\end{align*}
$$

where the $d_{i k}$ denote appropriate operators. Following [3-5], it is possible to take as the solution of (1.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{1 k} \Psi(\zeta, \varphi), \quad N=A_{2 k} \Psi(\zeta, \varphi), \ldots, \quad C^{*}=A_{\theta K} \Psi(\zeta, \varphi) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $A_{i k}$ here are cofactors of elements of the $k$ th rows of the operatordeterminant $Q$ of the system (1.5); $\Psi(\zeta, \varphi)$ is a stress function satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \Psi(\zeta, \varphi)=0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1.7) determines a countable set of solutions $\Psi_{k}(\zeta, \varphi)$, and their corresponding functions $A_{k}, N_{k}, \ldots, C_{k}^{*}$ form homogeneous solutions for a circular cylindrical shell after substitution into (1.1)-(1.3).

Evaluating the determinant of the system (1.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q=p^{5} \sum_{k, j=0}^{1} \sum_{i, j=0}^{1} L_{k j}\left(L_{i \mu}^{2} p_{k j, i \mu}+P_{k j}\right)  \tag{1.8}\\
L_{k i}=\left[J_{v}{ }^{(k)}\left(\xi_{1}\right) K_{v}^{(i)}\left(\xi_{2}\right)-J_{v}^{(j)}\left(\xi_{2}\right) K_{v}^{(k)}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right] p \\
f^{(0)}(x)=f(x), f^{(1)}(x)=d f(x) / d x, \xi_{1}=p R_{1} / R_{3}, \xi_{2}=p R_{2} / R_{3} \tag{1.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $J_{\nu}$ is a Bessel function of the first kind, $K_{\nu}$ a Weber-Schlaeffli function of the second kind, $P_{k j, i \mu}$ and $P_{k j}$ are expressions of the following kind:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{6} \sum_{i=0}^{6} a_{k i} \frac{\gamma^{2 k}}{p^{i}} \quad\left(\gamma=\frac{v}{p}, 2 k+i \leqslant 12\right) \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now putting $R_{3}=\sqrt{R_{1} R_{2}}$ and taking into account that the operator $Q$ is an entire function in this case of not only $D^{2}, p^{2}$ but also $\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon=0.5 \ln \left(R_{2} / R_{1}\right), D^{2}=p^{2}+\right.$ $+\partial_{2}{ }^{2}$ ), we represent the right side of the relationship (1.8) as a power series in $\varepsilon^{2}$. Calculations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\varepsilon^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{2 \hbar \hbar \Omega_{k}\left(D^{2}, p^{2}\right)} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Omega_{0}=2 b_{0} p^{4}, \Omega_{1}=b_{0}\left(8-4 D^{2}\right) p^{4}+{ }^{16 / 3}\left(D^{2}+1\right) p^{4}-4 / 3\left(D^{4}+D^{2}+p^{2}\right)^{2}$ $\Omega_{2}={ }^{8 / 5} D^{10}+{ }^{112} / 45 D^{8}-\left({ }^{32} / 45 x+{ }^{16} / 45\right) D^{6} p^{2}+\left({ }^{52} /{ }_{15} b_{0}-{ }^{32} / 5\right) D^{4} p^{4}+\Omega_{2}{ }^{*}$ $\Omega_{3}=-{ }^{808} /{ }_{945} D^{12}+\Omega_{3}{ }^{*} \quad\left(2 b_{0}=\chi^{2}-4 x\right)$

Here $\Omega_{2}{ }^{*}$ and $\Omega_{3}{ }^{*}$ are lower order operators than those written down. If expansions of the quantities $L_{j k}$ in powers of $p$ are utilized

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{00}= p b_{0}^{*}-1 / 4 p^{3} b_{1}+1 / 10 p^{5}\left(b_{1} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon-1 / 2 b_{2}\right)+\ldots  \tag{1.13}\\
& L_{11}=-b_{0}^{*} v^{2} / p+1 / 2 p\left(1 / 2 b_{1}-a_{0} \operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon-b_{0}^{*} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon\right)+ \\
& \quad+1 / 8 p^{3}\left(b_{0}^{*} \operatorname{sh}^{2} 2 \varepsilon-3 / 2 b_{1} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon+b_{2}\right)+\ldots \\
& L_{01}= e^{-\varepsilon}\left\{a_{0}-1 / 2 p^{2}\left(b_{0}^{*} \operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon+1 / 2 b_{1}\right)+1 / 1 \varepsilon p^{4}\left(b_{1} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon+e^{\varepsilon} b_{1}-b_{2}\right)+\ldots\right\} \\
& L_{10}= e^{\varepsilon}\left\{-a_{0}+1 / 2 p^{2}\left(b_{0}^{*} \operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon-1 / 2 b_{1}\right)+1 / 16 p^{4}\left(b_{1} \operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon+e^{-\varepsilon} b_{1}-b_{2}\right)+\ldots\right\} \\
&\left(a_{0}=\operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon v, \quad b_{0}^{*}=\operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon v / v\right. \\
& b_{k}= {[\operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon(k+v) /(k+v)-\operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon(k-v) /(k-v)]^{1} / v }
\end{align*}
$$

then we obtain by substituting (1.13) into (1.8)

$$
\begin{gather*}
Q\left(\varepsilon, \partial_{2}{ }^{2}, p^{2}\right)=1 / \mathrm{s}\left(\partial_{2}+\partial_{2}{ }^{3}\right) \sin 2 \partial_{2} \varepsilon\left(\sin ^{2} 2 \partial_{2} \varepsilon-\partial_{2}{ }^{2} \operatorname{sh}^{2} 2 \varepsilon\right)+ \\
+p^{2} \partial^{2}\left(1+\partial_{2}{ }^{2}\right) U_{1}\left(\varepsilon, \partial_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+p^{4} U_{2}\left(\varepsilon, \partial_{2}{ }^{2}\right)+\ldots \tag{1.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the entire functions $U_{1}\left(\varepsilon, \partial_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ and $U_{2}\left(\varepsilon, \partial_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ do not vanish for $\partial_{2}{ }^{2}=-1$ and $\partial_{2}{ }^{2}=0$.
By virtue of (1.10) the operator $Q$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q=p^{5}\left\{Q^{*} L_{00} \Delta_{1}{ }^{2} \Delta_{2}{ }^{2}\right\}+p^{4}\left\{2 Q^{*}\left[L_{10} e^{\varepsilon}\right\}\left(1+x \gamma^{2} e^{2 \varepsilon}\right) \Delta_{2}{ }^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.+L_{01} e^{-\varepsilon}\left(1+x \gamma^{2} e^{-2 \varepsilon}\right) \Delta_{1}^{2}\right]+8 x\left\{\operatorname{sh}^{2} 2 \varepsilon\left(\mathrm{~L}_{10} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \Delta_{2}+L_{01} e^{-\varepsilon} \Delta_{1}\right) \gamma^{2}\right\}+ \\
& +p^{3}\left\{2 Q^{*}\left[2 L_{11}\left(1+2 x \gamma^{2} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon+x^{2} \gamma^{4}\right)-L_{00} \gamma^{2}\left(\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}+2 \operatorname{sh}^{2} 2 \varepsilon\right)\right]-\right. \\
& -x L_{00}\left\langle\left(\gamma^{4} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon-2 \gamma^{2}+\operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon\right)\left(2 L_{11}{ }^{2}-2 L_{00}{ }^{2} \gamma^{4}+L_{01}{ }^{2}+L_{10}{ }^{2}-2 \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon\right)+\right. \\
& +2 \gamma^{2} L_{00}{ }^{2}\left(\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}+2 \operatorname{sh}^{2} 2 \varepsilon\right)+\left(\gamma^{4}-1\right) \operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon\left[L_{01}^{2}-L_{10}{ }^{2}-2 \gamma^{2}\right\rangle \times \\
& \left.\left.\times\left\langle\left(L_{01}{ }^{2} e^{z \varepsilon}-L_{10}{ }^{2} e^{-2 \varepsilon}\right)\right]\right\rangle-2 x \operatorname{sh}^{2} 2 \varepsilon\left[L_{00}-8 L_{11} \gamma^{2}-(9+2 x) L_{00} \gamma^{4}\right]\right\}+\ldots(1.15)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q^{*}=L_{00}^{2} \Delta_{1} \Delta_{2}+L_{10}^{2} \Delta_{2}+L_{01}^{2} \Delta_{1}+L_{11}^{2 z}-e^{-2 \varepsilon} \Delta_{1}-e^{2 \varepsilon} \Delta_{2} \\
\Delta_{1}=1-\gamma^{2} e^{2 \varepsilon}, \quad \Delta_{2}=1-\gamma^{2} e^{-2 \varepsilon}
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, the operator $Q$ admits of yet another representation which is specified by the appropriate expansion of the quantities $L_{j k}$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=(2 \varepsilon)^{-3} / 8 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(2 \varepsilon)^{2 k} Q_{k}\left(D_{*}^{2}, p_{*}^{2}\right) \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{0}=D_{*}{ }^{3} \sin D_{*}\left(\sin ^{2} D_{*}-D_{*}{ }^{2}\right) \quad\left(D_{*}{ }^{2}=(2 \varepsilon)^{2} D^{2}, p_{*}=2 \varepsilon p\right) \\
& Q_{1}=\sin ^{3} D_{*}\left\{p_{*}{ }^{4}\left[\left(-^{41} / 8+4 x+x^{2}\right) D_{*}{ }^{-3}-{ }^{3} / 4 D_{*}{ }^{-1}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+p_{*}{ }^{2}\left[\left({ }^{1 / 2}-6 x\right) D_{*}^{-1}+{ }^{3} / 4 D_{*}\right]+D_{*}\right\}+ \\
& +\sin ^{2} D_{*} \cos D_{*}\left\{p_{*}{ }^{4}\left[(-9 / 8-3 x) D_{*}{ }^{-2}-1 / 8\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+p_{*}{ }^{2}\left[(-1 / 2+2 x)+1 / 4 D_{*}{ }^{2}\right]\right\}+\sin D_{*}\left\{p_{*}{ }^{4}\left[(7 / 8-3 x) D_{*}{ }^{-1}+3 / 2 D_{*}\right]+\right. \\
& \left.+p_{*}{ }^{2}\left[\left(-\frac{3}{2}+2 x\right) D_{*}-5 / 4 D_{*}^{2}\right]-D_{*}{ }^{3}-1 / 3 D_{*}{ }^{5}\right\}+ \\
& +\cos D_{*}\left\{p_{*}{ }^{4}\left[\left({ }^{43} / 8-2 x\right)+1 / 24 D_{*}{ }^{2}\right]+p_{*}{ }^{2}\left[(-7 / 2+2 x) D_{*}{ }^{2}-1 / 12 D_{*}{ }^{4}\right]\right\} \tag{1.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us study the singularities in the behavior of the homogeneous solutions by using the method of trigonometric series expansions. In the case of a finite hollow cylinder $\left(\Gamma_{2}: z= \pm i\right)$ the stress function $\Psi_{1}(\zeta, \varphi)$ can be sought by putting

$$
\Psi_{1}(\zeta, \varphi)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_{k i} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{sh} \lambda_{k i} \zeta \sin k \varphi  \tag{1.18}\\
\operatorname{ch} \lambda_{k i} \zeta \cos k \varphi
\end{array}\right.
$$

For a cylindrical panel $\left(\Gamma_{2}: \varphi= \pm \varphi_{0}\right)$ subjected to a periodic loading with period $l_{0}$, we will seek the stress function $\Psi_{2}(\zeta, \varphi)$ in the form

$$
\Psi_{2}(\zeta, \varphi)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} H_{n i}^{*}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{sh} k_{n i} \varphi \sin n_{m} \zeta  \tag{1.19}\\
\operatorname{ch} k_{n i} \varphi \cos n_{m} \zeta
\end{array} \quad\left(n_{m}=m \frac{\pi}{l_{0}}\right)\right.
$$

The $H_{k i}$ and $H_{n i}{ }^{*}$ in $(1.18),(1.19)$ are arbitrary constants, and the $\lambda_{k i}$ and $k_{n i}$ some parameters.

Substituting (1.18) and (1.19) into (1.7), we obtain characteristic equations in $\lambda_{1: i}$ and $k_{n i}: \quad Q\left(\varepsilon,-k^{2}, \lambda_{k i}{ }^{2}\right)=0, \quad Q\left(\varepsilon, k_{n i}{ }^{2},-n_{m}{ }^{2}\right)=0$
2. Analysis of the roots of the characteristic equation of a closed cylindricalshell. Let us analyze the roots of the first transcendental equation in (1.20) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let us first examine the case of small $k\left(k<\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\right)$. Let us seek the roots which have a finite limit as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. If such roots exist, then their limit values $\lambda_{\text {ki0 }}$ are evidently found from the limit equation

$$
\left.\left[\varepsilon^{-3} Q\left(\varepsilon,-k^{2}, \lambda_{\text {kin }}{ }^{2}\right)\right]\right|_{\varepsilon=0}=0
$$

which has the form $2 b_{0} \lambda_{\text {ki0 }}{ }^{4}=0$ in the case under consideration. We hence conclude that (1.20) determines four vanishingly small roots for every $k$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Utilizing this property for small $\lambda_{k i}$ and $\varepsilon$, we write the first equation in (1.20) as
$\varepsilon^{"}\left\{2 b_{0} \lambda_{k i}{ }^{4}+\varepsilon^{2}\left[-{ }^{4} /{ }_{3} k^{4}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{2}+{ }^{16 / 3} \lambda_{l, 2}{ }^{2} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{2}+4 b_{0} \lambda_{l i}{ }^{4}\left(k^{2}+2\right)-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.-8 \lambda_{k i}{ }^{4} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)+\ldots\right]+\varepsilon^{4}\left[-{ }^{8} / 45 k^{4}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{2}\left(4+9 k^{2}\right)+\ldots\right]+\ldots\right\}=0$

From (2.1) the following asymptotic expansion results

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{k i}=\varepsilon^{1 / 2} p_{0}, \quad p_{0}=\lambda_{k i 0}+\varepsilon \lambda_{k i 1}+\varepsilon^{2} \lambda_{k i 2}+\ldots, \\
\lambda_{k i 0}{ }^{4}-{ }^{2 / 3} k^{4}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{2} b_{0}{ }^{-1}=0  \tag{2.2}\\
\lambda_{k i 1}=-{ }^{2} / 3 \lambda_{k i 0}{ }^{-1} k^{2}\left(k^{2}-1\right)^{2} b_{0}{ }^{-1}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\lambda_{k i 2}=\lambda_{k i 0}\left[1 / 3 b_{0}^{-1}\left(k^{2}-1\right)\left(4 k^{2}-1\right)-1 / 5 k^{2}-13 / 15\right] \quad \text { (cont.) }
$$

Taking account of (2.2) and (1.14), we easily see that $\lambda_{0 i}=U$ and $\lambda_{1 i}=0$ are exact quadruple roots.

Now, let us assume that all the remaining roots $\lambda_{k i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then, by using the asymptotic expansions of cylindrical functions of an argument tending to infinity and with a small index, (1.20) can be given the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\alpha_{k i}{ }^{3} \sin \left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)\left(\sin ^{2}\left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)-\left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)^{2}\right)\right]+\varepsilon^{2}\left[\alpha _ { k i } \operatorname { s i n } ^ { 3 } ( a \alpha _ { k i } ) \left({ }^{11} / 2-8 \chi+\right.\right.} \\
\left.+4 \chi^{2}-6 k^{2}\right)-\alpha_{k i}{ }^{2} \sin ^{2}\left(a \alpha_{k i}\right) \cos \left(\alpha a_{k i}\right)\left({ }^{13 / 2}+4 x+6 k^{2}\right)-1(2.3) \\
\left.-\alpha_{k i}^{3} \sin \left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)\left({ }^{13 / 2}+4 \kappa-10 k^{2}\right)+\alpha_{k i}{ }^{4} \cos \left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)\left({ }^{15} / 2+2 k^{2}\right)\right]+\ldots=0 \\
\left(\alpha_{k i}=2 \lambda_{k i} \varepsilon, a=\varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{sh} \varepsilon\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

The limit relationships $\alpha_{k i} \rightarrow 0, d_{k i} \rightarrow$ const and $\alpha_{k i} \rightarrow \infty$ are possible for the quantity $\alpha_{k i}$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\lambda_{k i} \rightarrow \infty$.

In the tirst case $\alpha_{k i} \rightarrow 0$ for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Taking account of this property for small $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{k i}$ and $\varepsilon$, we write (2.3) as

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[-{ }^{1 / 3} \alpha_{k i}{ }^{8}+1 / 10^{1} \alpha_{k i}{ }^{10}-{ }^{101 / 7560} \alpha_{k i}^{12}+\ldots\right]+}  \tag{2.4}\\
+\varepsilon^{2}\left[8 b_{0} \alpha_{k i}{ }^{4}+\left({ }^{16} /{ }_{3} k^{2}-4 b_{0}\right) \alpha_{k i}{ }^{6}+\left({ }^{13 / 15} b_{0}-{ }^{16 / 15}-{ }^{8 / 45} x-2 k^{2}\right) \alpha_{k i}{ }^{8}+\ldots\right]+ \\
+\varepsilon^{4}\left[32 \alpha_{k i}{ }^{4}\left(b_{0}+1 / 2 k^{2} b_{0}+k^{2}-k^{4}\right)+\ldots\right]+\ldots=0
\end{gather*}
$$

The following asymptotic expansion results from (2.4)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{k i}=\varepsilon^{-i / 2} p_{1}, \quad p_{1}=\alpha_{k i 0}+\varepsilon \alpha_{k i 1}+\varepsilon^{2} \alpha_{k i 2}+\ldots, \quad \alpha_{k i 0}{ }^{4}-{ }^{3} /{ }_{2} b_{0}=0  \tag{2.5}\\
\alpha_{k i 1}=\left(k^{2}-{ }^{3} / 10 b_{0}\right) \alpha_{k i 0}{ }^{-1}, \\
\alpha_{k i 2}=\left[\left(k^{2}-{ }^{2} / 3_{3} k^{4}\right) b_{0}{ }^{-1}+{ }^{167} / 2100 b_{0}-{ }^{2} / 15^{\prime} \chi+{ }^{1 / 5}\right] \alpha_{k i 0}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us examine the second case $\alpha_{k i} \rightarrow \alpha_{k i}^{*}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then, as is easy to see from (2.3), the $\alpha_{k i}{ }^{*}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a \alpha_{k i}^{*}\right)^{-5} \sin \left(a \alpha_{k i}^{*}\right)\left(\sin ^{2}\left(a \alpha_{h i}^{*}\right)-\left(a \alpha_{h i}^{*}\right)^{2}\right)=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that (2.6) agrees with the equation governing the index of the boundary-layer edge effects in slab theory [6]. Equation (2.6) has a countable set of roots, hence (2.3) also has a countable set of roots such that $\lambda_{k i} \varepsilon \rightarrow$ const as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Refined values values of the mentioned roots can be obtained by using the expansion

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{k i}=p_{2}(2 \operatorname{sh} \varepsilon)^{-1}, \quad p_{2}=x_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \delta_{k 2}+\varepsilon^{4} \delta_{k 4}+\ldots  \tag{2.7}\\
\delta_{k 2}=\left(4 k^{2}+4 x-1\right)\left(2 x_{1}\right)^{-1}-8 b_{0}\left(\sin 2 x_{1}-2 x_{1}\right)^{-1}\left(\sin ^{2} x_{1}-x_{1}^{2}\right) / x_{1}^{4}=0 \\
p_{2}=x_{0}+\varepsilon^{2} \delta_{k 2}^{*}+\varepsilon^{4} \delta_{k 4}^{*}+\ldots  \tag{2.8}\\
\delta_{k 2}^{*}=\left(4 k^{2}+15\right)\left(2 x_{0}\right)^{-1}, \quad \sin x_{0} / x_{0}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us show that the third case is not realizable. Indeed, it is seen from (2.6) that if $\mathrm{e} \rightarrow 0$, then compliance with the asymptotic equality $\sin \left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)\left(\sin ^{2}\left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)-\right.$ $\left.-\left(a \alpha_{k i}\right)^{2}\right) \sim 0$ is impossible for $\alpha_{k i}$ tending continuously to infinity. Turning to the case of average $k\left(\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \leqslant k<\varepsilon^{-1}\right)$, let us introduce the quantities $\lambda=\lambda_{k i} \downarrow \bar{\varepsilon}$ and $k_{0}=k V \bar{\varepsilon}$. The first characteristic equation (1.20) becomes in the new notation

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(2 b_{0} \lambda^{4}-4 / 3 \Delta^{8}\right)+\varepsilon\left[-{ }^{8 / 3} \Delta^{6}-{ }^{8 / 3} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}+\left(16 / 3-4 b_{0}\right) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{4}+{ }^{8 / 5} \Delta^{10}\right]+ \\
+\varepsilon^{2}\left[\left(8 b_{0}+4\right) \lambda^{4}-{ }^{4 / 3} \Delta^{4}-8 / 3 \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}+{ }^{112 / 45} \Delta^{8}-\left({ }^{16} / 45+{ }^{32 / 45} x\right) \Delta^{6} \lambda^{2}-\right. \\
\left.-\left(32 / 5-{ }^{52} / 15 b_{0}\right) \Delta^{4} \lambda^{4}-{ }^{808} / 945 \Delta^{12}\right]+\ldots=0  \tag{2.9}\\
\left(\Delta^{2}=\lambda^{2}-k_{0}{ }^{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Seeking $\lambda$ as a power series in $\varepsilon$ we obtain

Taking account of the representation of the operator $Q$ in the form (1.16) for large values of $k\left(k \approx \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$, the first equation (1.20) can be given the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[-{ }^{4} /{ }_{3} D_{1}{ }^{8}+{ }^{8 / 5} D_{1}{ }^{10}-{ }^{808} / 945 D_{1}{ }^{12}+\ldots\right]+\varepsilon^{2}\left[2 b_{0} p_{3}{ }^{4}+\left({ }^{16} / 3-4 b_{0}\right) D_{1}{ }^{2}{ }_{3}{ }^{4}+\right.} \\
\left.+D_{1}{ }^{4}\left(-{ }^{8 / 3} p_{3}{ }^{2}-{ }^{32} / /_{5} p_{3}{ }^{4}+{ }^{52} /{ }_{15} b_{0} p_{3}{ }^{4}\right)+\ldots\right]+\ldots=0 \\
\left(D_{1}{ }^{2}={p_{3}}^{2}-{k_{1}}^{2}, k_{1}=\varepsilon k, p_{3}=\varepsilon \lambda_{k i}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

We hence find

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda_{k i}=\varepsilon^{-1}\left(p_{30}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} p_{31}+\varepsilon p_{32}+\varepsilon^{3 / 2} p_{33}+\ldots\right), \quad p_{30}{ }^{2}-k_{1}{ }^{2}=0 \\
p_{31}{ }^{4}-{ }^{3} / 3 b_{0}=0, \quad p_{32}=p_{31}{ }^{2}\left[1 / 2 p_{30}{ }^{-1}+p_{30}\left({ }^{4 /} / 3 b_{0}{ }^{-1}-{ }^{2} / 5\right)\right] \quad(2.1  \tag{2.12}\\
p_{33}=p_{31}{ }^{-1}\left[1 / 8-{ }^{3} / 40 b_{0}+p_{30}{ }^{2}\left(-{ }^{1 / 12} b_{0}{ }^{-1}+1 / 20+{ }^{1 /} / 8400 b_{0}\right)\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

Formulas resulting from (1.16) and yielding a good approximation for roots of the type (2.7), (2.8) when $k \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}$ are presented below

Finally, for very large $\lambda_{k i}$ and $k\left(k \geqslant \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ the roots $\lambda_{k i}$ should be sought from the asymptotic equation

$$
\left(\operatorname{sh} \gamma_{1} \operatorname{sh} \gamma_{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(1-e^{2 \varepsilon} k^{2} / \lambda_{k i}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \varepsilon} k^{2} / \lambda_{i k}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} Q^{* *} \operatorname{sh} \theta+O\left(\zeta_{1}{ }^{-1}\right)=0
$$

$$
\theta=k\left(\operatorname{th} \gamma_{1}-\operatorname{th} \gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right), \quad \operatorname{ch} \gamma_{1}=e^{\varepsilon} k / \lambda_{k i}, \quad \operatorname{ch} \gamma_{2}=e^{-\varepsilon} k / \lambda_{k i}
$$

$$
Q^{* *}=2 \lambda_{k i}^{2}\left[\operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon-\operatorname{ch}\left(\gamma_{2}-\gamma_{1}\right)\right]+\operatorname{sh}^{2} \theta\left(1-\operatorname{cth}^{2} \gamma_{1}\right)\left(1-\operatorname{cth}^{2} \gamma_{2}\right)
$$

$$
\zeta_{1}=\max \left\{\lambda_{k i} ; k\right\}
$$

which results from the representation of the operator $Q$ in the form (1.15), and from asymptotic expansions of the quantities $L_{k j}$ for large and complex $k$ and $\lambda_{k i}$ (see e.g. [7]).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{k i}=(2 \varepsilon)^{-1} v_{0}+2 \varepsilon v_{1}+(2 \varepsilon)^{3} v_{2}+\ldots, \quad k_{*}=2 \varepsilon k \\
& \left(\sin ^{2} x_{1}-x_{1}{ }^{2}\right) / x_{1}{ }^{4}=0, \quad v_{0}{ }^{2}=k_{*}{ }^{2}+x_{1}{ }^{2}  \tag{2.13}\\
& v_{1}=\left(\sin 2 x_{1}-2 x_{1}\right)^{-1}\left[1 / 3 x_{1}^{3} v_{0}^{-1}+1 / 3 x_{1} v_{0}-v_{0}^{3}\left(2 b_{0} x_{1}{ }^{-3}+{ }^{2} /{ }_{3} x_{1}{ }^{-1}\right)\right]+ \\
& +v_{0}\left[(2-2 x) x_{1}{ }^{-2}-1 / 12\right]+v_{0}{ }^{3}\left[\left(-{ }^{17} / 8+5 / 2 x\right) x_{1}{ }^{-4}+1 / 24 x_{1}{ }^{-2}\right] \\
& \lambda_{k i}=(2 \varepsilon)^{-1} \mu_{0}+2 \varepsilon \mu_{1}+(2 \varepsilon)^{3} \mu_{2}+\ldots, \quad \mu_{0}^{2}=k_{*}^{2}+x_{0}^{2}  \tag{2.14}\\
& \mu_{1}=\mu_{0}{ }^{3}\left[\left({ }^{43} / 8-2 x\right) x_{0}{ }^{-4}+{ }^{1 / 24} x_{0}{ }^{-2}\right]+\mu_{0}\left[(-7 / 2+2 x) x_{9}{ }^{-2}-1 / 12\right]
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{k i}=\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\left(\lambda_{0}+\varepsilon \lambda_{1}+\varepsilon^{2} \lambda_{2}+\ldots\right), \quad \lambda_{0}{ }^{2}-x_{k} \lambda_{0}-k_{0}{ }^{2}=0, x_{k}{ }^{4}-3 / 2 b_{0}=0  \tag{2.10}\\
& \lambda_{1}=\left\{\lambda_{0} x_{k}{ }^{2}\left(1 / 5-1 / 3 b_{0}{ }^{-1}\right)+1 / 2 x_{k}{ }^{-1}+x_{k} k_{0}{ }^{2}\left({ }^{1} / 5-{ }^{2} / 3 b_{0}{ }^{-1}\right)\right\}\left(1-2 \lambda_{0} x_{k}{ }^{-1}\right)^{-1} \\
& \lambda_{2}=\left\{\lambda _ { 0 } \left[-^{167} / 2100 b_{0}+{ }^{2} /{ }_{15} x-{ }^{1 / 5}-{ }^{7} /{ }_{12} b_{0}{ }^{-1}+x_{k}{ }^{2} k_{0}{ }^{2}\left(-{ }^{709} / 3150+{ }^{16} / 45 b_{0}{ }^{-1} \chi-\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-{ }^{2} / 3_{3} b_{0}^{-1}-4 / 9 b_{0}^{-2}\right)+k_{0}{ }^{4}\left(-82 / 1575-8 / 15 b_{0}{ }^{-1}+8 / 9 b_{0}{ }^{-2}\right)\right]+x_{k}\left[2 / 3 b_{0}{ }^{-1}+\right. \\
& +x_{k}{ }^{2} k_{0}{ }^{2}\left(-{ }^{167} / 3150+{ }^{4} /{ }_{45} b_{0}{ }^{-1} x+{ }^{17} / 45{ }^{1} b_{0}{ }^{-1}+1 / 3 b_{0}{ }^{-2}\right)+k_{0}{ }^{4}\left(-{ }^{271} /{ }_{1575}+\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.+{ }^{16} / 45 b_{0}{ }^{-1} x+{ }^{92} / 45 b_{0}{ }^{-1}-4 / 9 b_{0}^{-2}\right)\right]-1 /{ }_{12} \lambda_{0}{ }^{-1} x_{k}{ }^{2} b_{0}{ }^{-1}\right\}\left(1-2 \lambda_{0} x_{k}{ }^{-1}\right)^{-3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{00}=\left(\operatorname{sh} \gamma_{1} \operatorname{sh} \gamma_{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left\{\operatorname{sh} \theta+k^{-11 / 2} \operatorname{ch} \theta A_{1}+\ldots\right\} \\
& L_{11}=\left(\operatorname{sh} \gamma_{1} \operatorname{sh} \gamma_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}-\left\{\operatorname{sh} \theta+k^{-11 / 2} \operatorname{ch} \theta\left(\operatorname{cth} \gamma_{1}-\operatorname{cth} \gamma_{2}-\operatorname{cth}^{3} \gamma_{1}+\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\quad+\operatorname{cth}^{3} \gamma_{2}-A_{1}\right)+\ldots\right\} \\
& L_{01}=\left(\operatorname{sh} \gamma_{2} / \operatorname{sh} \gamma_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\{-\operatorname{ch} \theta+k^{-11} 1 / 2 \operatorname{sh} \theta\left(\operatorname{cht}^{3} \gamma_{2}-\operatorname{cth} \gamma_{2}-A_{1}\right)+\ldots\right\} \\
& L_{10}=\left(\operatorname{sh} \gamma_{1} / \operatorname{sh} \gamma_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left\{\operatorname{ch} \theta+k^{-11 / 2} \operatorname{sh} \theta\left(\operatorname{cth}^{3} \gamma_{1}-\operatorname{cth} \gamma_{1}+A_{1}\right)+\ldots\right\} \\
& \quad\left(A_{1}=1 / 4\left(\operatorname{cth} \gamma_{1}-\operatorname{cth} \gamma_{2}\right)-5 / 12\left(\operatorname{cth}^{3} \gamma_{1}-\operatorname{cth}^{3} \gamma_{2}\right)\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

We conclude from (2.15) that the asymptotic equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k i} \approx \pm k e^{\varepsilon}, \quad \lambda_{k i} \approx \pm k e^{-\varepsilon} \quad \text { for } \quad k \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

correspond to the eight roots $\lambda_{k i}$, and the principal parts of the remaining roots are found from the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta=2 \lambda_{k_{m}} \operatorname{sh} \varepsilon i\left(-1+1 / 2 \gamma_{0}^{2}+\ldots\right)=i m \pi \quad(i=\sqrt{-1}, m=1,2, \ldots) \\
Q^{* *}=\left(2 \lambda_{k i} \operatorname{sh} \varepsilon\right)^{2}\left(1+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\ldots\right)+\operatorname{sh}^{2} \theta\left(1+2 \gamma_{0}^{2} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon+\ldots\right)=0 \\
\left(\gamma_{0}=k / \lambda_{k i}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are obtained from (2.15) by using expansions valid for $\left|\gamma_{0} e^{\varepsilon}\right| \leqslant 1$.
The analysis carried out shows that the first characteristic equation (1.20) contains three groups of roots.

The first group contains two exact quadruple roots $\lambda_{0 i}=0$ for $k=0$, and $\lambda_{1 i}=0$ for $k=1$.

The second group consists of eight roots determined by (2.2),(2.5).(2.10),(2.12), (2.17). The order of the moduli of these roots hence depends on $k$. If $k<\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$, then the moduli of four of them are commensurate with $\varepsilon^{1 / 2} k^{2}$ (small roots), and the four other roots are commensurate in absolute value with $\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$ (large roots). For $k \geqslant \varepsilon^{-1 / 2}$ all eight roots are commensurate with $k$ in absolute value. In the case of large and very large $k\left(k \approx \varepsilon^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.k \gg \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ the asymptotic equalities $\lambda_{k i} \approx \pm k$ and $\lambda_{k i} \approx \pm$ $\pm k \exp ( \pm \varepsilon)$ are valid, respectively.
The third group consists of a countable set of roots determined by (2.7), (2.8), (2.13), (2.14), (2.18), and growing as $1 / \varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

## 3. Analysis of the state of stress and strain corresponding to

 each group of roots. Group (1). The stress functions$\Psi_{1}^{*}(\zeta, \varphi)=T_{-1} \zeta+T_{0} \zeta^{2}+T_{1} \zeta^{3}+\left(N_{1,2}+N_{1,2}{ }^{*} \zeta+M_{1,2} \zeta^{2}+M_{1,2}{ }^{*} \zeta^{3}\right) e^{\ell \varphi}$ correspond to the quadruple roots $\lambda_{0 i}=0$ and $\lambda_{1 i}=0$, where $T_{-1}, \ldots, M_{1,2}{ }^{*}$ are arbitrary constants, and the subscript 1,2 provisionally denotes $a_{1,2} e^{\imath \varphi} \equiv a_{1} \cos \varphi+$ $+a_{2} \sin \varphi$.

Substituting (3.1) into (1.6), and moreover (1.6) into (1.1-3), we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
u & =\ldots{ }_{1 / 2} R_{1} a_{2} \rho_{\mathbf{1}} T_{0}, \quad v-0, \quad w=-R_{151} T_{0} \\
\sigma_{z} & =G a_{0} T_{0}, \quad \sigma_{r}=\sigma_{\varphi}=\tau_{r \varphi}=\tau_{r z}=\tau_{z \varphi} \mid=0 \\
u & =0, & v=R_{1} \zeta_{1} \rho_{\mathbf{1}} T_{\mathbf{1}}, \quad w=0 \\
\tau_{z \dot{ }} & =G \rho_{1} T_{1}, \quad \sigma_{r}=\sigma_{z}=\sigma_{\varphi},=\tau_{r \varphi}=\tau_{r z}=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=R_{3} \varepsilon^{-1}\left\{\left[x \Delta^{2}+b_{0} \lambda^{2}\right]+\varepsilon\left[-\left(c_{1}+a_{0}\right) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}-\left(7 / 3+{ }^{13} /{ }_{6} x\right) \Delta^{4}+\right.\right.  \tag{3.9}\\
& \left.\left.+t\left(b_{2} \lambda^{2}+1 / 3 a_{2} \Delta^{6}\right)+1 /{ }_{2} t^{2}\left\langle\left(a_{0}-b_{0}\right) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}-a_{2} \Delta^{4}\right\rangle\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& w=R_{3} \varepsilon^{-1}\left\{\left[\lambda^{2} B_{0}(t)+x \lambda^{2}+{ }^{4} / 3 \Delta^{6}-t x \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right]+\varepsilon\left[\lambda^{2} B_{1}(t)+8 / 3 \Delta^{4}-\right.\right. \\
& -1 / 3 b_{5} \lambda^{4}-\left(8 / 3+{ }^{7} /{ }_{6} \alpha\right) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}-{ }^{62} / 45 \Delta^{8}+t(1 / 3+13 / 6 x) \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}- \\
& \left.\left.-t^{2}\left\langle 1 / 2 \chi\left(\Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}+\lambda^{1}\right)+{ }^{2 / 3} \Delta^{8}\right\rangle+1 / 6 t^{3} a_{6} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}\right]+\ldots\right\} \Psi_{1} \\
& v=R_{3}\left\{\left[B_{0}(t)-x-t x \Delta^{2}\right]+\varepsilon\left[B_{1}(t)+{ }^{1 / 3} b_{3} \lambda^{2}-\left({ }^{4} / 3-{ }_{3}^{23 / 6} x\right) \Delta^{2}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-t\left\langle x+(1-5 / 2 x) \Delta^{4}\right\rangle-t^{2}\left(1 / 2 x \Delta^{2}+b_{1} \lambda^{2}\right)+1 / 6 t^{3} a_{6} \Delta^{4}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial^{2} \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi \\
& \tau_{x p}=2 G s^{-1}\left\{\left[\lambda^{2} B_{0}(t)+2 / 3 \Delta^{6}-t x \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right]+\varepsilon\left[\lambda^{2} B_{1}(t)+4 / 3 \Delta^{4}-1 / 6 \lambda^{4}-\right.\right. \\
& -{ }^{31} / 45 \Delta^{8}-(2-4 / 3 x) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}+t\left\langle\left({ }^{1 / 3}+{ }^{13} / 6 \chi\right) \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}-{ }^{2} / 3 \Delta^{6}-x \lambda^{2}\right\rangle- \\
& \left.\left.-t^{2}\left(1 / 2 x \lambda^{4}+1 / 3 \Delta^{8}\right)+1 / 6 t^{3} a_{6} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \varphi \\
& \sigma_{z}-2 G \varepsilon^{-1}\left\{\left[\lambda^{2} B_{0}(t)-1 / 3 a_{-2} \Delta^{6}-b_{0} \lambda^{2}+t\left\langle a_{2} \Delta^{4}+\left(b_{0}-2 a_{2}\right) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right\rangle\right]+\right. \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\lambda^{2} B_{1}(t)+1 / 3 b_{-1} \lambda^{4}+\left({ }_{4} /{ }_{4} x^{2}-1 /{ }_{3} a_{26}\right) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}+(6-5 / 3 x) \Delta^{4}-\right. \\
& -\left(c_{2}+{ }^{26} / 45\right) \Delta^{8}+t\left\langle a_{2}\left(\Delta^{2}-\lambda^{2}-{ }^{5 / 2} \Delta^{6}\right)+\left({ }^{1} /{ }_{3} a_{-17}-{ }^{11 / 6} b_{-4}\right) \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}\right\rangle+ \\
& +t^{2}\left({ }^{1 / 2} b_{0} \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}-1 / 2{ }^{1} \alpha \lambda^{4}+1 / 6 a_{-2} \Delta^{8}\right)+ \\
& \left.\left.+t^{3}\left\langle-1 / 6 a_{2} \Delta^{6}+\left(1 / 2 a_{2}-c_{3}\right) \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}\right\rangle\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& \sigma_{\rho}=2 G \varepsilon^{-1}\left\{\left[-\lambda^{2} B_{0}(t)-{ }^{2} /_{3} \Delta^{6}-2 t\left(\Delta^{4}-2 \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\right)\right]+\varepsilon\left[-\lambda^{2} B_{1}(t)+\right.\right. \\
& +1 / 3 b_{1} \lambda^{4}-1 / 3 \Delta^{4}+{ }^{4} / 5 \Delta^{8}-1 / 3_{3} \mu \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}+t\left(2 \lambda^{2}-2 \Delta^{2}+{ }^{14} / 3 \Delta^{6}-1 / 2 a_{18} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}\right)+ \\
& \left.\left.+t^{2}\left(\Delta^{4}-2 \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}+1 / 2 \pi \lambda^{4}\right)+t^{3}\left({ }^{2} / 3 \Delta^{6}+{ }_{1 / 6} a_{-6} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}\right)\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& \Delta_{r}=2 G\left(t^{2}-1\right)\left\{\left[2 \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}+1 / 3 \Delta^{8}-\Delta^{4}-t\left(1 / 3 \Delta^{6}+1 / 8 a_{0} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}\right)\right]+\right. \\
& +\varepsilon\left[\lambda^{2}-\Delta^{2}+{ }^{17} / 6 \Delta^{6}-1 / 3 a_{10} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}+1 /{ }_{3} a_{-4} \Delta^{2} \lambda^{4} \ldots{ }^{31} / 90 \Delta^{10}+t\left(1 /{ }_{3} \Delta^{4}-\right.\right. \\
& \left.-1 / 6 a_{10} \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}-1 / 3 b_{-2} \lambda^{4}+{ }^{7 / 10} \Delta^{8}-c_{0} \Delta^{6} \lambda^{2}\right)+t^{2}\left(1 / 2 \Delta^{6}+{ }^{1 / 6} a_{-6} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}-\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left.-1 / 3 a_{0} \Delta^{2} \lambda^{4}-{ }^{1} /{ }_{18} \Delta^{10}\right)+t^{3}\left({ }^{1 / 30} \Delta^{8}+{ }^{1 / 60} a_{0} \Delta^{6} \lambda^{2}\right)\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& \boldsymbol{x}_{r \cdot \rho}=2 G\left(t^{2}-1\right)\left\{B_{2}(t)+\varepsilon\left[B_{3}(t)+\Delta^{2}+1 / 2 a_{2} \lambda^{2}-5 / 2 \Delta^{6}-1 / t_{6} t^{2} \Delta^{6}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+t\left(2 \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}-\Delta^{4}\right)\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial^{2} \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi \\
& \boldsymbol{T}_{r z}=2 G\left(t^{2}-1\right) \varepsilon^{-1}\left\{\lambda^{2} B_{2}(t)+\varepsilon\left[\lambda^{2} B_{3}(t)+\Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}-\lambda^{4}+{ }^{2} /_{3} \Delta^{8}-\right.\right. \\
& -\left({ }^{25} / 6-1 / 3 \chi\right) \Delta^{6} \lambda^{2}+t\left\langle\left({ }^{2} / 3 a_{3}-1 / b_{0}\right) \Delta^{2} \lambda^{4}-1 / 3 a_{3} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}+1 / 9 \Delta^{10}\right\rangle- \\
& \left.\left.-1 / 8 t^{2} \Delta^{6} \lambda^{2}\right]+\ldots\right\} \Psi_{1} \\
& B_{0}(t)=1 / 3 a_{0} \Delta^{4}-t b_{0} \lambda^{2}, B_{2}(t)=\Delta^{4}+1 / 2 a_{0} \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}, B_{1}(t)=\Delta^{6}\left(c_{2}-1 /{ }_{6} t^{2} a_{2}\right)+ \\
& +t \Delta^{2} \lambda^{2}\left(c_{1}+c_{3} t^{2}\right) \\
& B_{3}(t)=-{ }^{13} /{ }_{12} a_{0} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2}+1 / 3 t\left(b_{0} \lambda^{4}+1 / 3 \Delta^{8}\right)-1 / 12 t^{2} a_{0} \Delta^{4} \lambda^{2} \\
& c_{1}=2 b_{0}-c_{3}, c_{2}=77 / 45-{ }^{41} / 90 \chi, \quad c_{3}=1 / 6 .\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right), \quad c_{0}={ }^{53} / 180 \chi-{ }^{43} / 45
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.9), (3.10) and (2.5) that the quantities $u, v, \ldots, \tau_{r \varphi}$ corresponding to the large roots and satisfying the relationships

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u|,\left|\sigma_{z}\right|,\left|\sigma_{\varphi}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{-3 / 2}, \quad\left|\tau_{z \varphi}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{-1} k \\
|w|,\left|\tau_{r z}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{-1}, \quad|v|,\left|\tau_{r \varphi}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} k,\left|\sigma_{r}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

decrease as $\exp \left(-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} p^{* *} s_{1}\right)\left(\operatorname{Re} p^{* *}>0\right)$ with advancement into the domain
occupied by the shell. Thus, the solutions corresponding to large roots are edge effects, whose damping zones will be narrower, the smaller the $\varepsilon$.

In the case of roots defined by (2.10) and commensurate with $k\left(\varepsilon^{-1 / 9} \leqslant k<\varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ the following estimates are ohtained from (3.9), (3.10):

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u| \approx k^{3}, \quad|v|,|w| \approx \varepsilon k^{4}, \quad\left|\tau_{z \varphi}\right|,\left|\sigma_{z}\right|,\left|\sigma_{\varphi}\right| \approx \varepsilon k^{5} \\
\left|\sigma_{r}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{2} k^{5}, \quad\left|\tau_{r \varphi}\right|,\left|\tau_{r z}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{3 / 2} k^{5} \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, all the characteristics of the state of stress and strain decrease as $\exp \left(-k s_{1}\right)$. Therefore, as $k$-increases its corresponding homogeneous solutions become damped all the more rapidly. For $k \approx \varepsilon^{-1}$ the following solutions correspond to roots defined by (2.12):

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=R_{3} \varepsilon^{-2}\left\{b_{0} p_{3}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left\{x \Lambda^{2}-\left(c_{1}+a_{0}\right) \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}+1 / 3 t a_{2} \Lambda^{6}+\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+{ }^{1} /{ }_{2} t^{2}\left(a_{0}-b_{0}\right) \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& v=R_{3} \varepsilon^{-1}\left\{B_{0}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[B_{1}^{*}(t)-t \chi \Lambda^{2}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial^{2} \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi  \tag{3.14}\\
& w=R_{3} \varepsilon^{-3}\left\{p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{0}{ }^{*}(t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{1}{ }^{*}(t)+{ }^{4} /{ }_{3} \Lambda^{6}-t \kappa \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right]+\ldots\right\} \Psi_{1} \\
& \sigma_{z}=2 G \varepsilon^{-3}\left\{p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{0}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{1}{ }^{*}(t)-1 / 3 a_{-2} \Lambda^{6}+t \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}\left(b_{0}-2 a_{2}\right)\right]+\right. \\
& +\ldots\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& \sigma_{\varphi}=2 G \varepsilon^{-3}\left\{-p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{0}{ }^{*}(t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[-p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{1}{ }^{*}(t)-{ }^{2 / 3} \Lambda^{6}+4 t \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right]+\right. \\
& +\ldots\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& \tau_{z \varphi}=2 G \varepsilon^{-3}\left\{p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{0}{ }^{*}(t)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{1}{ }^{*}(t)+{ }^{2 / 3} \Lambda^{6}-t x \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \varphi \\
& \tau_{r z}=2 G\left(t^{2}-1\right) \varepsilon^{-7 / 2}\left\{\left[1_{2} a_{0} \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{4}\right]+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[p_{3}{ }^{2} B_{2}{ }^{*}(t)\right]+\ldots\right\} \Psi_{1} \quad \text { (3.15) }  \tag{3.15}\\
& \tau_{r \varphi}=2 G\left(t^{2}-1\right) \varepsilon^{-3 / 3}\left\{\left[1 / 2 a_{0} \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right]+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[B_{2}{ }^{*}(t)\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial^{2} \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi \\
& \sigma_{r}=2 G\left(t^{2}-1\right) \varepsilon^{-2}\left\{\left[1 / 3 \Lambda^{8}-1 /{ }_{8} t a_{0} \Lambda^{4} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right]+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left[\Lambda ^ { 2 } \left(2 p_{3}{ }^{2}+1 /{ }_{3} a_{-4} p_{3}{ }^{4}-\right.\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-{ }^{31} / 90 \Lambda^{8}\right)+t \Lambda^{6}\left(c_{0} p_{3}{ }^{2}-{ }^{1 / 3}\right)-1 / 3 t^{2} \Lambda^{2}\left(a_{0} p_{3}{ }^{4}+1 / 6 \Lambda^{8}\right)+1 / 80 t^{3} a_{0} \Lambda^{6} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right]+ \\
& +\ldots\} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \\
& B_{0}{ }^{*}(t)=1 / 3 a_{0} \Lambda^{4}-t b_{0} p_{3}{ }^{2}, \quad \Lambda^{2}=\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} D_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& B_{1}^{*}(t)=\Lambda^{6}\left(c_{2}-{ }^{1 / 6} t^{2} a_{2}\right)+t \Lambda^{2} p_{3}{ }^{2}\left(c_{1}+c_{3} t^{2}\right)  \tag{3.16}\\
& B_{2}{ }^{*}(t)=\Lambda^{4}\left(1-{ }^{13} /{ }_{12} a_{0} p_{3}{ }^{2}\right)+1 / 3 t\left(b_{0} p_{3}{ }^{4}+1 / 3 \Lambda^{8}\right)-1 / 12 t^{2} a_{0} \Lambda^{4} p_{3}{ }^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Analyzing the estimating formulas (3.13), which are also suitable for the relationships (3.14), (3.15), it can be noted that for large $k\left(k \geqslant \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$, the homogeneous solutions (3.14), (3.15) are governed, in a first approximation, by the quantities $v, w, \sigma_{z}, \sigma_{\varphi}, \tau_{2 \varphi}$, i. e. correspond to some plane state of stress.

Group (3). If $k \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}$, then by expanding the solutions of this group in powers of the small parameter $\varepsilon$ and limiting oneself to the first member of the expansion, we find the following asymptotic expressions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=\varepsilon R_{3} D_{0}^{2}\left\{\sin t_{1} D_{0}\left[\left(1-x-t_{1}\right) D_{0} \sin ^{2} D_{0}-1 / 2 t_{1} D_{0}^{2} \sin 2 D_{0}\right]+\right. \\
\left.\quad+\cos t_{1} D_{0}\left[\left(t_{1} D_{0}^{2}-x\right) \sin ^{2} D_{0}-1 / 2^{x} D_{0} \sin 2 D_{0}\right]\right\} \Psi_{1}  \tag{3.17}\\
v=2 \varepsilon^{2} R_{3} P_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \varphi, \quad w=2 \varepsilon^{2} R_{3} P_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{r}=G D_{0}^{3}\left\{\sin t_{1} D_{0}\left[\left(1-t_{1} D_{0}^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} D_{0}+1 / 2 D_{0} \sin 2 D_{0}\right]-\right.  \tag{3.18}\\
\left.-\cos t_{1} D_{0}\left[t_{1} D_{0} \sin ^{2} D_{0}+1_{2} t_{1} D_{0}^{2} \sin 2 D_{0}\right]\right\} \Psi_{1} \\
\tau_{r \varphi}=\varepsilon G P_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \varphi, \quad \tau_{r z}=\varepsilon G P_{2}\left(t_{1}\right) \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta, \\
\tau_{z \varphi}=4 \varepsilon^{2} G P_{1}\left(t_{1}\right) \partial^{2} \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi \\
\sigma_{z}=G\left(\lambda_{*}^{2} P_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)-a_{2} P_{3}\left(t_{1}\right) \Psi_{1}, \quad \sigma_{\varphi}=-G\left(k_{*}^{2} P_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)+a_{2} P_{3}\left(t_{1}\right)\right) \Psi_{1}\right. \\
P_{1}\left(t_{1}\right)=D_{0}\left\{\sin t_{1} D_{0}\left[\left(t_{1} D_{0}^{2}-1+x\right) \sin ^{2} D_{0}+1 / 2 D_{0}(x-1) \sin 2 D_{0}\right]+\right. \\
\left.\quad+\cos t_{1} D_{0}\left[D_{0}\left(t_{1}-x\right) \sin ^{2} D_{0}+1 / t_{1} t_{1} D_{0}^{2} \sin 2 D_{0}\right]\right\} \\
P_{2}\left(t_{1}\right)=D_{0}^{3}\left\{\sin t_{1} D_{0}\left[2\left(1-t_{1}\right) \sin ^{2} D_{0}-t_{1} D_{0} \sin 2 D_{0}\right]+\right. \\
\left.\quad+2 t_{1} D_{0} \sin ^{2} D_{0} \cos t_{1} D_{0}\right\}  \tag{3.19}\\
P_{3}\left(t_{1}\right)=D_{0}^{3}\left\{\sin t_{1} D_{0}\left(\sin ^{2} D_{0}+1 / 2_{0} D_{0} \sin 2 D_{0}\right)-D_{0} \sin ^{2} D_{0} \cos t_{1} D_{0}\right\} \\
D_{0}^{2}=\lambda_{*}^{2}-k_{*}^{2}, \quad \lambda_{*}=2 \varepsilon \lambda_{k i}, \quad k_{*}=2 \varepsilon k, \quad t_{1}=(2 \varepsilon)^{-1} \ln \rho_{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the roots $\lambda_{k i}$ are found by means of (2.7), (2.13).
In the case of roots defined by $(2.8),(2.14)$, we find the following expressions:

$$
\begin{gather*}
u=0, \quad v=2 \varepsilon^{2} R_{3} \lambda_{*}{ }^{2} \cos t_{1} D_{0} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \varphi, \quad w=2 \varepsilon^{2} R_{3} k_{*}{ }^{2} \cos t_{1} D_{0} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta  \tag{3.20}\\
\tau_{z \varphi}=2 \varepsilon^{2} G\left(k_{*}{ }^{2}+\lambda_{*}{ }^{2}\right) \cos t_{1} D_{0} \partial^{2} \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi, \quad \sigma_{z}=-\sigma_{\varphi}=G \lambda_{*}{ }^{2} k_{*}{ }^{2} \cos t_{1} D_{0} \Psi_{1} \\
\tau_{r z}=-\varepsilon G D_{0} k_{*}{ }^{2} \sin t_{1} D_{0} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \zeta, \quad \tau_{r \varphi}=-\varepsilon G D_{0} \lambda_{*}{ }^{2} \sin t_{1} D_{0} \partial \Psi_{1} / \partial \varphi \\
\sigma_{r}=0 \tag{3.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (3.17), (3.18) and (3.20), (3.21) that for small $\varepsilon$ and $k \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}$, the displacements and stresses corresponding to roots of the third group are subject to the relationships

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u|,|w| \approx \varepsilon, \quad|v| \approx \varepsilon^{2} k, \quad\left|\sigma_{r}\right|,\left|\sigma_{z}\right|,\left|\sigma_{\varphi}\right|,\left|\tau_{r z}\right| \approx 1, \quad\left|\tau_{r \varphi}\right|_{z}\left|\tau_{z \varphi}\right| \approx \varepsilon k(  \tag{3.22}\\
|v| \approx \varepsilon^{2} k, \quad|w| \approx \varepsilon^{3} k^{2}, \quad\left|\sigma_{z}\right|,\left|\sigma_{\varphi}\right|,\left|\tau_{r z}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{2} k^{2}, \quad\left|\tau_{z \varphi}\right|,\left|\tau_{r \varphi}^{*}\right| \approx \varepsilon k \tag{3.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

and decrease as $\exp \left(-\varepsilon^{-1} p^{* * *} s_{\mathbf{1}}\right)\left(\operatorname{Re} p^{* * *}>0\right)$ with recession from the boundary $\Gamma_{2}$. It is important to emphasize that the relationships (3.17)-(3.21) actually agree with the homogeneous solutions obtained in plate theory [6].

All the above affords a foundation to conclude that the edge effects of applied shell theory correspond to the second group of solutions. The third group of solutions yields the boundary layers which are generally absent in Kirchhoff-Love theory.
4. Analysit of roots of the characteristic equation of an open cylindrical shell. Utilizing the representation of the operator $Q$ in the form (1.14), we easily establish that the second equation (1.20) reduces for $n_{m}=0$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{n i}+k_{n i}{ }^{3}\right) \sin 2 \varepsilon k_{n i}\left(\sin ^{2} \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon k_{n i}-k_{n i}{ }^{2} \operatorname{sh}{ }^{2} 2 \varepsilon\right)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This latter contains two groups of roots:

1) Quadruple roots $k_{0 i}=0$ and double roots $k_{0 i}= \pm i$;
2) A countable set of roots growing as $1 / \varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and defined by the formulas

$$
\begin{array}{cll}
k_{0 m}=(2 \varepsilon)^{-1} m \pi \quad(m=1,2, \ldots), & k_{0 i}=(2 \varepsilon)^{-1} k_{00}+2 \varepsilon k_{11}+(2 \varepsilon)^{3} k_{22}+\ldots \\
\left(\sin ^{2} k_{00}-k_{00}{ }^{2}\right) / k_{00}{ }^{4}=0, & k_{11}=1 / 3 k_{00}^{2}\left(\sin 2 k_{00}-2 k_{00}\right)^{-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{22}=2 / 45\left[k_{00}^{3}\left(\sin 2 k_{00}-2 k_{00}\right)^{-2}+k_{00}^{6}\left(\sin 2 k_{00}-2 k_{00}\right)^{-3}\right] \tag{cont.}
\end{equation*}
$$

We apply a method expounded in the Gol'denveizer monograph [8] to investigate the roots of the characteristic equation (1.20) when $n_{m} \neq 0, \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. In the case of small $n_{m}\left(n_{m} \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{1 / 2}\right)$, we obtain by making the change $n_{m}=\varepsilon^{1 / 2} n_{0}$ in (1.20)

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.\left.\left[2 b_{0} n_{0}{ }^{4}-4 / 3 k_{n i}{ }^{4}\left(1+k_{n i}\right)^{2}\right)^{2}\right]+\varepsilon 1^{16} / 3 k_{n i}{ }^{2}\left(1+k_{n i}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} n_{0}{ }^{2}\right]+\varepsilon^{2}\left[4 b_{0} n_{0}{ }^{4}\left(2-k_{n i}{ }^{2}\right)-\right. \\
\left.-8 k_{n i} i^{2}\left(1+k_{n i}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} n_{0}{ }^{4}-8 / 45 k_{n i}{ }^{4}\left(1+k_{n i}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\left(4-9 k_{n i} i^{2}\right)\right]+\ldots=00 \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ the following asymptotic expansion results

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{n 2}=k_{n i 0}+\varepsilon k_{n i 1}+\varepsilon^{2} k_{n i 2}+\cdots, \quad k_{n i 0}{ }^{1}\left(1+k_{n i 0}\right)^{2}--9 / 2 b_{0} n_{0}{ }^{4}=0  \tag{4.4}\\
& k_{n i 1}=\frac{n_{0}{ }^{2}\left(1+k_{n i 0}{ }^{2}\right)}{k_{n i 0}\left(1+2 k_{n i 0}{ }^{2}\right)} \\
& k_{n i 2}=\frac{n_{0}{ }^{4}}{k_{n i 10}{ }^{3}}\left[\frac{b_{0}\left(13-3 k_{n i 0^{2}}\right)}{11\left(1+k_{n i 0}{ }^{2}\right)\left(1+2 k_{n i 0}{ }^{2}\right)}+\frac{1+3 k_{n i 0^{2}}+3 k_{n i 0}{ }^{4}-2 k_{n i 0^{6}}}{2\left(1+2 k_{n i 0}{ }^{2}\right)^{3}}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

For medium values of $n_{m}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}<n_{m}<\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\right)$, the substitution $k_{n 2}=\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{4}} 4 k_{2}$ reduces (1.20) to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(2 b_{0} n_{m}{ }^{4}-4 / 3 k_{2}^{8}\right)+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} k_{2}^{6}\left(-8 / 3+16 / 3 n_{m}^{2}\right)+\varepsilon k_{2}^{4}\left(-4 / 3+32 / 3 n_{m}^{2}-8 n_{m}^{4}\right)+ \\
+\varepsilon^{3 / 2} k_{2}^{2}\left(16 / 3 n_{m}^{2}-4 b_{0} n_{m}^{4}-8 n_{m}^{4}+16 / 3 n_{m}^{6}+8 / 3 k_{2}^{8}\right)+\ldots=0 \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

We hence find

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{n i}=\varepsilon^{-h^{\prime} 1}\left(k_{20}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} k_{21}+\varepsilon k_{22}+\varepsilon^{3 / 2} k_{23}+\ldots\right), \quad k_{20}^{8}-3 / 2 b_{0} n_{m}^{4}=0 \\
k_{21}=k_{20}^{-1}\left(-1,1+1 / 2 n_{m}^{2}\right), \quad k_{22}=k_{20}^{-3}\left(1 / 32+3 / n_{m}^{2}-1 / 5 n_{m}^{4}\right)  \tag{4.6}\\
k_{23}=k_{20}^{-5}\left[1 / 128+5 / 64 n_{m}^{2}+\left(9 / 32-3 / 20 b_{0}\right) n_{m}^{4}+1 / 20 n_{m}^{6}\right]
\end{gather*}
$$

In the case of large values of $n_{m}\left(\varepsilon^{-1 / z} \leqslant n_{m}<\varepsilon^{-1}\right)$, we apply the substitution $n_{m}=$ $=\varepsilon^{1}: n_{1}$ and $k_{n i}=\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} k_{3}$, we represent (1.20) in the form (2.9), wherein we put $A^{2}=k_{3}{ }^{2}-n_{1}{ }^{2} \cdot \lambda^{2}=-n_{1}{ }^{2}$. Now, expanding $h_{3}$ in a series in $\varepsilon$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.k_{n \iota}=\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\left(k_{30}+\varepsilon k_{31}+\varepsilon^{2} k_{32}\right\rceil^{-} .\right), \quad k_{30}{ }^{2}-x_{k} n_{1}-n_{2}{ }^{2}-0 \\
& k_{31}=k_{30}{ }^{-1}\left[-1 / 4+1 / 4 x_{1}^{-1} n_{1}+n_{1}^{2}\left(1 / 2-3 / 2, b_{0}\right) x_{k}^{-2}\right], \quad x_{k}-3 / 2 b_{0}=0 \\
& k_{32}-k_{30}^{-3} / 1 / 1_{6} b_{0}^{-1}-1 / 32+1 / 16 x_{k}^{-1}\left(1+b_{0}^{-1}\right) n_{1}+x_{k}^{2}\left(3 / 16 b_{0}^{-1}+11 / 24\right) n_{1}^{2}+x_{k}(5 / 24 \\
& \left.b_{0}{ }^{-1} 1-19 / 24+1 / 15 x\right) n_{1}{ }^{3}+\left(-1 / 4 b_{0}{ }^{-1}+29 / 60+1 / 15 x+19 / 84000 b_{0}\right) n_{1}{ }^{4}+ \\
& +x_{h}^{-1}\left(-1 / \sigma_{0} b_{0}^{-1}+1 / 1_{0}+41 / 4200 h_{0}\right) n_{1}{ }^{5} \mathrm{~J} \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, for $n_{m} \approx \varepsilon^{-1}$, equation (1.20) can be written in the form (2.11) by virtue of (1.16), by putting $D_{1}{ }^{2}=k_{4}{ }^{2}-n_{2}{ }^{2}, \quad p_{3}{ }^{2}=-n_{2}{ }^{2}, \quad k_{4}=\varepsilon k_{n i}, \quad n_{2}=\varepsilon n_{m}$

Taking account of (4.8) it follows from (2.11) that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{n i}=\varepsilon^{-1}\left(k_{40}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} h_{41}+\varepsilon k_{42}+\varepsilon^{3 / 2} k_{43}+\ldots\right), \quad k_{40}{ }^{2}-n_{2}^{2}=0 \\
& k_{41}{ }^{4}-3 / 32 b_{0}=0, \quad k_{42}=h_{40}{ }^{2}\left[-1 / 2 n_{2}{ }^{-1}+n_{2}\left(1 / 3 b_{0}{ }^{-1}-2 / 5\right)\right] \\
& h_{43}=h_{40}{ }^{-1}\left[3 / 64 b_{0} n_{2}{ }^{-2}+3_{/ 80} b_{0}+n_{2}^{2}\left(-1 / 12 b_{0}{ }^{-1}+1 / 2_{0}+{ }^{41 / 84400} b_{0}\right)\right] \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, taking account of the representation of the operator $Q$ in the form (1.16), it is easy to establish that the second characteristic equation (1.20), in addition to the eight roots found above, also has a countable set of other roots for which $k_{n i} \varepsilon \rightarrow$ const as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. For $n_{m} \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}$, the asymptotic of the mentioned roots can be obtained by utilizing the expansions

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{n i}=(2 \varepsilon)^{-1} \sigma_{0}+2 \varepsilon \sigma_{1}+(2 \varepsilon)^{3} \sigma_{2}+\ldots \\
& x_{0}{ }^{-1} \sin x_{0}=0, \quad \sigma_{0}^{2}=n_{*}{ }^{2}+x_{0}{ }^{2}, \quad n_{*}=2 \varepsilon n_{m}  \tag{4.10}\\
& \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{0}{ }^{-1} n_{*}{ }^{2}\left\{n_{*}{ }^{2}\left[(43 / 8-2 x) x_{0}{ }^{-4}+1 / 24 x_{0}{ }^{-2}\right]+\left(-{ }^{7} / 12+2 x\right) x_{0}{ }^{-2}-1 / 12\right\} \\
& k_{n i}=(2 \varepsilon)^{-1} \omega_{0}+2 \varepsilon \omega_{1}+(2 \varepsilon)^{3} \omega_{2}+\ldots \\
& \left(\sin ^{2} x_{1}-x_{1}{ }^{2}\right) / x_{1}{ }^{4}=0, \quad \omega_{0}{ }^{2}=n_{*}{ }^{2}+x_{1}{ }^{2} \\
& \omega_{1}=\omega_{0}^{-1}\left\{\left(\sin 2 x_{1}-2 x_{1}\right)^{-1}\left[1 / 3 x_{1}^{3}+1 / 3 n_{*}^{2} x_{1}-n_{*}^{4}\left(2 b_{n} x_{1}{ }^{-3}+2 / 3 x_{1}^{-1}\right)\right]+n_{*}^{2}[(2-\right. \\
& \left.\left.-2 x) x_{1}^{-2}-1 / 12\right]+n_{*}^{4}\left[(-17 / 8+5 / 2 x) x_{1}^{-4}+1 / 24 x_{1}{ }^{-2}\right]\right\} \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

In the case of very large $k_{n i}$ and $n_{m}\left(n_{m} \gg \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$ the roots of ( 1.20 ) should be sought from the asymptotic equation (2.15), in which $\lambda_{k i}$ should be replaced by $i n_{m}$, and $k$ by $i k_{n i}$. Thus tranformed, it defines eight roots which satisfy the asymptotic equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{n i} \approx \pm n_{m} e^{\varepsilon}, \quad k_{n i} \approx \pm n_{m} e^{-\varepsilon} \text { for } n_{m} \rightarrow \infty \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the principal parts of the remaining roots are found from the equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
\theta=2 k_{n i} \varepsilon i\left(-1+1 / 2 \gamma_{*}{ }^{2} \operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon / 2 \varepsilon+1 / s \gamma_{*}{ }^{4} \operatorname{sh} 4 \varepsilon / 4 \varepsilon+\ldots\right)=i n \pi \\
Q^{* *}=\gamma_{*}^{4}\left[k_{n i}{ }^{2} \operatorname{sh}^{2} 2 \varepsilon\left(1+\gamma_{*}{ }^{2} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon+\ldots\right)+\operatorname{sh}^{2} \theta\left(1+2 \gamma_{*}{ }^{2} \operatorname{ch} 2 \varepsilon+\ldots\right)\right]=0 \\
\left(n=1,2, \ldots, \gamma_{*}=n_{m} / k_{n i}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

which are obtained from (2.15) by using expansions which are valid when $\left|\gamma_{*}{ }^{\varepsilon}\right| \leqslant 1$.
Thus the analysis expounded above shows that the second characteristic equation (1.20) contains three groups of roots. In the first group are the quadruple roots $k_{0 i}=0$ and the double roots $k_{0 i}= \pm i$ defined for $n_{m}=0$. The second group consists of eight roots defined by (4.4), (4.6), (4.7), (4.9), (4.12). The order of the absolute values of these roots hence depends essentially on the quantity $n_{m}$. For small $n_{m}\left(n_{m} \leqslant \varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$ the absolute values of four of them are commensurate with $\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} n_{m}$ (small roots), and the other four roots are subject to the relationship $\left|k_{n i}\right| \approx 1$ (large roots). For medium values of $n_{m}\left(n_{m} \approx 1\right)$ all eight roots are commensurate with $\varepsilon^{-1 / 4}$ in absolute value. In the case of large and very large $n_{m}\left(n_{m} \approx \varepsilon^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.n_{m} \gg \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$, the relationships $k_{n i} \approx \pm n_{m}$ and $k_{n i} \approx \pm n_{m} \exp ( \pm \varepsilon)$, are satisfied, respectively. The third group includes a countable set of roots defined by (4.2), (4.10), (4.11),(4.13) which grow as $1 / \varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

## 5. Analyats of the atate of strest and strain of an open cylin-

 dilcal shell, Group (1). The stress function$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{2}{ }^{*}(\zeta, \varphi)=E_{-1} \varphi+E_{0} \varphi^{2}+E_{1} \varphi^{3}+\left(K_{1,2}+K_{1,2}{ }^{*} \varphi\right) e^{i \varphi} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponds to the quadruple roots $k_{0 i}=0$ and the double roots $\kappa_{0 i}= \pm i$, defined for $n_{m}=0$, where $E_{-1}, E_{0}, \ldots, K_{1,2}$ are arbitrary constants.

Utilizing (5.1), (1.6), (1.1-3), we find the displacements and stresses

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=0, \quad v=0, \quad w=R_{1} \varphi E_{0} \\
& \tau_{z \varphi}=G \rho_{1}{ }^{-1} E_{0}, \quad \sigma_{r}=\sigma_{\varphi}=\sigma_{z}=\tau_{r z}=\tau_{r \varphi}=0 \\
& u=R_{1}\left[\left(a_{3} \rho_{1}+\rho_{1}{ }^{-1}\right) c_{1}{ }^{*}+\left(2 a_{3} \ln \rho_{1}-x\right) \rho_{1}\right] E_{1}, \quad v=R_{1} 2 \chi \rho_{1} \varphi E_{1}, \quad w=0  \tag{5,2}\\
& \sigma_{\varphi}=2 G\left[c_{1}{ }^{*}\left(1+\rho_{1}{ }^{-2}\right)+2\left(\ln \rho_{1}+1\right)\right] E_{1}, \quad \sigma_{r}=2 G\left[c_{1}{ }^{*}\left(1-\rho_{1}{ }^{-2}\right)+2 \ln \rho_{1}\right] E_{1} \\
& \sigma_{z}=-2 G a_{2}\left(1+c_{1}^{*}+2 \ln \rho_{1}\right) E_{1}, \quad \tau_{r \varphi}=\mathbf{r}_{r z}=\tau_{z \varphi}=0  \tag{5.3}\\
& u=R_{1}\left[2 a_{3}\left(d_{1} \ln \rho_{1}+d_{2} \rho_{1}^{2}\right)-d_{2} \rho_{1}^{2}-d_{1}+d_{3} \rho_{1}^{-2}-i 2 x d_{1} \varphi\right] K_{1 \cdot 2}{ }^{*} e^{i \varphi} \\
& v=R_{1}\left[2 a_{3}\left(d_{1} \ln \rho_{1}-d_{2} \rho_{1}{ }^{2}\right)-3 d_{2} \rho_{1}{ }^{2}+d_{1}-d_{3} \rho_{1}{ }^{-2}-i 2 x d_{1} \varphi\right] i K_{12}{ }^{*} e^{i \varphi}, \quad w=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma_{\varphi \varphi}=4 G\left(3 d_{2} \rho_{1}+d_{1} \rho_{1}^{-1}+d_{3} \rho_{1}^{-3}\right) K_{1 \cdot 2}{ }^{*} e^{i \varphi}, \quad \tau_{r z}=0 \\
\sigma_{z}=-4 G a_{2}\left(d_{1} \rho_{1}^{-1}+2 d_{2} \rho_{1}\right) K_{1,2}{ }^{*} e^{i \varphi}, \quad \tau_{z \varphi}=0 \\
\tau_{r \varphi}=-i \sigma_{r}=4 G\left(d_{3} \rho_{1}^{-3}-d_{1} \rho_{1}^{-1}-d_{2} \rho_{1}\right) i K_{1 \cdot 2} * e^{i \varphi}  \tag{5.5}\\
\left(c_{1}^{*}=d_{0} d_{3}{ }^{-1} \ln d_{0}, \quad d_{3}=1-d_{0}, d_{2}=1-d_{0}{ }^{-1}, d_{1}=d_{0}{ }^{-1}-d_{0}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to show that the stress functions (5.1) correspond to the following elementary states of stress: (1) pure shear $\left(E_{0}\right),(2)$ pure bending by edge moments ( $E_{1}$ ), (3) bending from the joint effect of a moment and tensile forces applied to the boundary $\Gamma_{2}\left(K_{1,2}{ }^{*}\right)$.

The constants $E_{-1}$ and $K_{1.2}$ correspond to shell motion as a rigid body.
Group (2). The solutions (3.6), (3.7) in which $k$ should be replaced by $i k_{n i}$, and $\dot{p}_{0}$ by $i n_{0}$, and the stress function $\Psi_{1}$ by $\Psi_{2}$, correspond to roots defined for small $n_{m}\left(n_{m} \leqslant \varepsilon^{1 / 2}\right)$ by (4.4). The quantities $u, v, \ldots, \tau_{r \varphi}$ will then satisfy the relationships

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\tau_{z \varphi}\right| \approx n_{m}^{3}, \quad\left|\sigma_{\varphi}\right|, \quad\left|\sigma_{z}\right| \approx k_{n i} n_{m}^{2}, \quad\left|\tau_{r \varphi}\right| \approx \varepsilon k_{n i}^{2} n_{m}^{2}, \quad\left|\sigma_{r}\right|=\varepsilon k_{n i} n_{m}^{2} \\
\left|\tau_{r z}\right| \approx \varepsilon k_{n i} n_{m}^{3}, \quad|v| \approx k_{n i}^{2}, \quad|u| \approx k_{n i}{ }^{3}, \quad|w| \approx k_{n i} n_{m} \\
\left(\left|k_{n i}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} n_{m}\left|k_{n i}\right| \approx 1\right) \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Therefore, for $n_{m} \leqslant \varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ the state of stress of an open shell is determined by $\sigma_{\varphi}$ and $\sigma_{z}$ in a first approximation, and as is seen from (3.7), is primarily bending. Thus, solutions corresponding to the eight roots of the second group for $n_{m} \leqslant \varepsilon^{1 / 2}$ are generalized edge effects [8], which decrease as $\exp \left(-\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} n_{m} \gamma^{*} s_{2}\right)$, where $\operatorname{Re} \gamma^{*}>0$ and $s_{2}$ is the angular distance from the boundary $\Gamma_{2}$.

For medium values of $n_{m}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}<n_{m}<\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\right)$, the roots $k_{n i}$ are determined from (4.6) and their corresponding solutions are given by formulas ( ${ }^{*}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
u & =R_{3} \varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left\{-\chi k_{2}{ }^{2}-\varepsilon^{\left.1 / 2 / b_{-2} n_{m}{ }^{2}+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{2} / \partial \varphi}\right. \\
v & =R_{3} \varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\left\{x k_{2}{ }^{2}+\varepsilon^{1 / 2}\left(\chi t k_{2}{ }^{4}+2 b_{0} n_{m}{ }^{2}\right)+\ldots\right\} \Psi_{2} \\
w & =R_{3}\left\{-\chi+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} x t k_{2}{ }^{2}+\ldots\right\} \partial{ }^{2} \Psi_{2} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi \\
\sigma_{r} & =2 G\left\{\varepsilon\left(t^{2}-1\right) k_{2}{ }^{4}+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{2} / \partial \varphi  \tag{5.7}\\
\tau_{r \varphi} & =2 G\left\{\varepsilon^{1 / 3}\left(1-t^{2}\right) k_{2}{ }^{6}+\ldots\right\} \Psi_{2} \\
\tau_{r z} & =2 G\left\{\varepsilon\left(1-t^{2}\right) k_{2}{ }^{4}+\ldots\right\} \partial^{2} \Psi_{2} / \partial \zeta \partial \varphi \\
\sigma_{z} & =2 G\left\{\left[-b_{0} n_{m}{ }^{2}-a_{2} t k_{2}{ }^{4}\right]+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} k_{2}{ }^{2}\left[\left(2 a_{0}-b_{0}\right) n_{m}{ }^{2} t-a_{2} t-1 / 3 a_{2} k_{2}{ }^{4}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{2} / \partial \varphi \\
\sigma_{\varphi} & =2 G\left\{\left\{2 t k_{2}{ }^{4}\right]+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} k_{2}{ }^{2}\left[2 t\left(1+a_{0}\left(n_{m}{ }^{2}\right)+2 / 3 k_{2}^{4}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{2} / \partial \varphi\right. \\
\boldsymbol{\tau}_{z \varphi} & \left.=2 G\left\{\left\{x t k_{2}{ }^{4}+b_{0} n_{m}{ }^{2}\right]+\varepsilon^{1 / 2} k_{2}{ }^{2} t t\left(x+b_{-2} n_{m}{ }^{2}\right)+1 / 3 a_{2} k_{2}{ }^{4}\right]+\ldots\right\} \partial \Psi_{2} / \partial \zeta \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

The relationships

$$
\begin{gather*}
|u| \approx \varepsilon^{-3 / 4} n_{m}^{3 / 2}, \quad|v| \approx \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} n_{m}, \quad|w|=\varepsilon^{-14} n_{m}^{3 / 2}, \quad\left|\sigma_{z}\right|, \quad\left|\sigma_{\varphi}\right|=\varepsilon^{-1 / 4} n_{m}^{5 / 2} \\
\left|\tau_{z \varphi}\right| \approx n_{m}^{3}, \quad\left|\tau_{r \varphi}\right| \approx \varepsilon^{1,2} n_{m}^{3}, \quad\left|\tau_{r i}\right|=\varepsilon^{3 / 4} n_{m}^{7 / 2}, \quad\left|\sigma_{r}\right|=\varepsilon^{3 / 4} n_{m}^{5 / 2} \tag{5.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

result from (5.7), (5.8).
Being primarily a bending state, the state of stress (5.8) hence damps out as
$\exp \left(-\varepsilon^{-1 / /} n_{m}^{1 / 2} \gamma^{* *} s_{2}\right)\left(\operatorname{Re} \gamma^{* *}>0\right)$. Therefore, the homogeneous solutions corresponding to medium values of $n_{m}\left(\varepsilon^{1 / 2}<n_{m}<\varepsilon^{-1 / 2}\right)$, are edge effects whose damping zones

[^0]will be the narrower, the greater the $\varepsilon^{-1 / 4} n_{m}^{1 / 2}$.
For large values of $n_{m}\left(\varepsilon^{-1 / 2} \leqslant n_{m}<\varepsilon^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.n_{m} \approx \varepsilon^{-1}\right)$, the solutions (3.9), (3.10) and (3.14), (3.15) correspond, respectively, to the roots defined by (4.7) and (4.9), where $k$ should be replaced by $i k_{n i}, \quad \lambda_{k i}$ by $i n_{m}$, and $\Psi_{1}$ by $\Psi_{2}$. The estimates (3.13) are retained for the quantities $u, v, \ldots, \tau_{\leftarrow \varphi}$ even this time. Hence, all the characteristics of the homogeneous solutions (3.9), (3.10) and (3.14), (3.15) decrease as $\exp \left(-n_{m} s_{2}\right)$, including the components of both the bending and the membrane states of stress.

Group (3). Presented below are exact solutions of the third group for $n_{m}=0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=0, \quad v=0, \quad w=R_{1} \cos \eta \Psi_{2}, \quad \sigma_{z}=\sigma_{\varphi}=\sigma_{r}=0 \\
& \tau_{r z}=-G \rho_{1}^{-1} \sin \eta \Psi_{2}^{\prime}, \quad \tau_{z \varphi}=G \rho_{1}^{-1} \cos \eta \Psi_{2}^{\prime}, \quad \tau_{r \varphi}=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The $k_{0 i}$ in (5.10) are roots of the equation $\sin 2 \varepsilon k_{0 i} / 2 \varepsilon k_{0 i}=0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& u=R_{1} \rho_{1}\left[(2 x-1) C_{n}{ }^{\prime}-E_{n}{ }^{\prime}+\rho_{1}{ }^{-2} k_{0 i} K_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right] \Psi_{2}, \quad w=0 \\
& v=R_{1 \rho_{1}}\left[(1-2 \kappa) C_{n}-E_{n}+\rho_{1}{ }^{-2} k_{0 i} K_{\eta}\right] \Psi_{z^{\prime}} / k_{0 i}, \quad \tau_{r z}=\tau_{z \varphi}=0  \tag{5.11}\\
& \tau_{r \varphi}=2 G\left(-E_{n}{ }^{\prime}+\rho_{1}{ }^{-2} H_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right) \Psi_{2}{ }^{\prime}, \quad \sigma_{\varphi}=2 G\left(2 E_{n}{ }^{\prime}-k_{0 i} E_{n}+p_{1}{ }^{-2} k_{02} H_{\eta}\right) \Psi_{2} \\
& \sigma_{r}=2 G\left(2 E_{\eta 1}{ }^{\prime}+k_{02} E_{\eta}-\rho_{1}{ }^{-2} k_{0 i} H_{\eta 1}\right) \Psi_{2}, \quad \sigma_{z}=-4 G a_{2} E_{n}{ }^{\prime} \Psi_{2}  \tag{5.12}\\
& C_{\eta}=-\left(\cos \eta+k_{0 i} \sin \eta\right) \pm R_{2} / R_{1}\left[\cos \left(\eta-\theta_{1}\right)+k_{0 i} \sin \left(\eta-\theta_{1}\right) d\right. \\
& K_{\eta}=-\left(R_{2} / R_{1}\right)^{2}\left(\sin \eta+k_{0 i} \cos \eta\right) \pm R_{2} / R_{1}\left[\sin \left(\eta-\theta_{1}\right)+k_{0 i} \cos \left(\eta-\theta_{1}\right)\right\rfloor \\
& E_{n}=C_{n}+k_{0 i} C_{n}{ }^{\prime}, \quad H_{n}=K_{n}{ }^{\prime}+k_{n i} K_{n}, \quad \eta=k_{0 i} \ln \rho_{1}, \quad \theta_{1}=2 \varepsilon k_{0 i} \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

The primes here denote differentiation with respect to $\eta$ and $\varphi$, and $k_{0 i}$ are the nonzero roots of the equations $\sin 2 \varepsilon k_{0 i} \pm k_{0 i} \operatorname{sh} 2 \varepsilon=0$. In the case when $0<n_{m} \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}$, the homogeneous solutions are given in a first approximation by (3.17), (3.18) and (3.20), (3.21) in which the quantities $k, \lambda_{k i}$ and $\Psi_{1}$ are replaced by $i k_{n i}, \iota n_{m}, \Psi_{2}$, respectively, and therefore, the behavior of solutions of the third group of a cylindrical panel are the same as the analogous solutions of a hollow cylinder.
6. Construction of refined applied theories for circular cylindrical shells. As is seen from (3.1)-(3.9) in [1], the homogeneous solutions (1.1)-$-(1.3)$ can be represented in three forms

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left(u, \sigma_{p}, \sigma_{r}, \sigma_{z}\right)=\left(\Omega_{11}, \Omega_{21}, \Omega_{31}, \Omega_{41}\right) p \Psi, \quad \tau_{z p}=\Omega_{51} \partial_{2} \Psi\right\} \\
& \left.(i, \stackrel{i}{r \varphi})=\left(\Omega_{81}, \Omega_{71}\right) \mu \partial_{2} \Psi, \quad\left(w, \tau_{r z}\right)-\left(\Omega_{81}, \Omega_{91}\right) \Psi \quad\right)  \tag{6.1}\\
& \left.\left(u, \sigma_{\varphi}, \sigma_{r}, \sigma_{z}\right)=\left(\Omega_{12}, \Omega_{22}, \Omega_{32}, \Omega_{42}\right) \partial_{2} \Psi, \tau_{z \varphi}=\Omega_{52} p \Psi\right\} \\
& \left.\left(v, \tau_{r \varphi}\right)=\left(\Omega_{62}, \Omega_{72}\right) \Psi, \quad\left(w, \tau_{r z}\right)=\left(\Omega_{\delta_{2}}, \Omega_{9_{2}}\right) \partial_{2} p \Psi \quad\right\}  \tag{6.2}\\
& \left.\left(u, \sigma_{\varphi}, \sigma_{r}, \sigma_{z}\right)=\left(\Omega_{13}, \Omega_{23}, \Omega_{33}, \Omega_{43}\right), \Psi, \quad \tau_{z \varphi}=\Omega_{j_{3}} \partial_{2} p \Psi\right\}  \tag{6.3}\\
& \left(v, \tau_{r \varphi}\right)=\left(\Omega_{8_{3}}, \Omega_{7_{3}}\right) \partial_{2} \Psi, \quad\left(w, \tau_{r z}\right)=\left(\Omega_{8_{3}} \Omega_{93}\right) p \Psi
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\left(u, \sigma_{\varphi}, \ldots\right)=\left(\Omega_{13}, \Omega_{23}, \ldots\right) \Psi$ denotes the system of equalities $u=\Omega_{13} \Psi, \sigma_{\varphi}=$ $=\Omega_{23} \Psi, \ldots$, and the quantities $\Omega_{j \mu}$ are integer operator functions of $D^{2}, p^{2}, \varepsilon$ and $\ln \rho$, representable by series of the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mu \mu}=\sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k} \Omega_{\mu \mu h}\left(\nu^{2}, p^{2}, t\right), \quad \Omega_{\mu}=\sum_{h=10}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{k} \Omega_{, \mu h}\left(D_{*}^{2}, p_{*}^{2}, t_{1}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operators $\Omega_{\mu, k}$ and $\Omega^{*}{ }_{\mu, h}$ are of the type (1.12) and (1.17).

Therefore, if the stress function $\Psi$ has an index of variability $p^{\circ} \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1}\left(p^{\circ}=\right.$ $\left.=\max \left\{k, n_{m},\left|k_{n i}\right|,\left|\lambda_{k i}\right|\right\}\right)$, then by keeping a sufficient number of terms in the series (6.1)-(6.4), a series of applied theories of circular cylindrical shells can be constructed which have any previously assigned accuracy in $e$. Hence, by having the solutions of the third group, the boundary conditions can be satisfied more accurately than in the integral sense [ 9,10 ]. In this case a system of algebraic equations in $H_{k i}$ and $H_{n i}{ }^{*}$ is obtained, which separates asymptotically, for small $\varepsilon$, into one eighth order system and two countable infinite order systems (see e.g. [3]). These latter have been studied in $[6,11]$, and are solved effectively by the method of reduction.

As regards the constraint imposed on the index of variability $p^{\circ}$, it is insignificant since such theories are intended to reduce smoothly varying external loadings applied to the boundary $\Gamma_{2}$. The relationships herein are given as a specific refined applied theory. Together with the relationships (3.1), (3.9) from [1], the proposed theory yields an error on the order of $\varepsilon^{z}$ as compared with unity, if $p^{0} \leqslant \varepsilon^{-1 / 2} y$ and an error on the order of $\varepsilon$ if $p^{\circ} \approx \varepsilon^{-1}$, and can be utilized to check the accuracy of existing applied theories.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Bazarenko, N. A. , Construction of refined applied theories for a circular cylindrical shell. Inzh. Zh., Mekh. Tverd. Tela, N82, 1967.
2. Lur'e, A. I., On the theory of thick plates. PMM Vol. 6, No2, 3, 1942.
3. Bazarenko, N, A, and Vorovich, I, I. . Asymptotic solution of the elasticity problem for a hollow, finite length, thin cylinder. PMM Vol. 29, N9, 1965.
4. Gokhbaum, F. A., Application of the method of initial functions to analyze thick-walled solid cylinders. In the collection: Application of Reinforced Concrete in Machine Building. Moscow, Mashinostroenie, 1964,
5. Prokopov, V.K., Equilibrium of an elastic thick-walled axisymmetric cylinder. PMM Vol. 12, N2, $2,1949$.
6. Aksentian, O. K. and Vorovich, I. I., The state of stress in a thin plate. PMM Vol. 27, Nob, 1963.
7. Watson, G. N. . Theory of Bessel Functions, Pt. 1, Moscow, IIL, 1949.
8. Gol'denveizer, A.L. . Theory of Elastic Thin Shells. Moscow, Gostekhizdat, 1953.
9. Nigul, U. K. . Asymptotic theory of statics and dynamics of elastic circular cylindrical shells. PMM Vol. 26, N85, 1962.
10. Nigu1, U. K. . Linear equations of the dynamics of an elastic circular cylindrical shell free of hypotheses. Trudy Tallin. Politekh. Inst. . Ser. A, №176, 1960.
11. Vorovich, I. I. and Malkina, O. S. . Asymptotic method of solving elasticity theory problems for a thick plate. Sixth All-Union Conference on Plate and Shell Theory. Baku-Moscow, Nauka, 1966

[^0]:    *) The solutions (5.7), (5.8) can be refined by terms in $\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^{3 / 2}$, if the relationships (3.9), (3.10), as well as (3.1), (3.9) from [1], are utilized.

